WEBVTT

NOTE duration: "00:21:39.0800000"

NOTE recognizability:0.842

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00:00:00.000 \longrightarrow 00:00:02.640$ So next, it's my pleasure to

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00{:}00{:}02.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}04.400$ introduce Doctor Deep Dixit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00{:}00{:}04.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05.588$ who studied veterinary medicine

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00{:}00{:}05.588 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07.760$ in India and did his PhD research

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

00:00:07.760 --> 00:00:09.440 at the University of Hanover,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00{:}00{:}09.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}10.948$ Germany, and postdoctoral research

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

00:00:10.948 --> 00:00:12.833 at Morehouse School of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00:00:12.840 \longrightarrow 00:00:15.440$ Atlanta and the National Institutes

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00:00:15.440 \longrightarrow 00:00:18.040$ of On Aging in Baltimore.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00{:}00{:}18.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}20.518$ He currently holds the Valdemar von

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00{:}00{:}20.518 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.490$ Zewitz Endowed Chair and is the professor

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

00:00:23.490 --> 00:00:25.560 in the Department of Pathology,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

00:00:25.560 --> 00:00:27.306 Comparative Medicine and

00:00:27.306 --> 00:00:29.634 Immunobiology at Yale University.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00{:}00{:}29.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}32.125$ Doctor Dixit is also the director of

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

00:00:32.125 --> 00:00:34.758 the Yale Center for Research on Aging,

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

 $00:00:34.760 \longrightarrow 00:00:40.758$ or Y age, at Yale School of Medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.875200872

00:00:40.760 --> 00:00:41.560 Doctor Dixit,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:00:46.360 --> 00:00:47.920 thank you. Thank you, Anya.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}00{:}47.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}49.396$ You know, it's always the hardest

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:00:49.396 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.199$ thing to do is to give a talk,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:00:51.200 --> 00:00:53.798 intramural talk, in a Yale symposium

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:00:53.800 \longrightarrow 00:00:55.480$ among the audience from Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}00{:}55.480 {\:{\circ}{\circ}{\circ}}>00{:}00{:}57.300$ Because it's the hardest

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:00:57.300 \longrightarrow 00:00:59.120$ because everybody's so great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:00:59.120 \longrightarrow 00:01:02.040$ So let me see if I can do justice to it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}01{:}02.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}03.615$ Now I think we've heard about obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:03.615 \longrightarrow 00:01:05.159$ and we'll talk more about obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:05.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:08.160$ But I just want to remind those of you who

 $00:01:08.160 \longrightarrow 00:01:11.436$ don't think about this as much as we do,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}01{:}11.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}14.464$ which is that if you compare the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:01:14.464 --> 00:01:16.586 risk factors of various situations

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:01:16.586 --> 00:01:19.477 that we are dealing with in life,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:01:19.480 --> 00:01:22.080 actually aging, just getting old,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:22.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:24.342$ the process of getting old is

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:24.342 \longrightarrow 00:01:26.317$ the single biggest risk factor

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:26.317 \longrightarrow 00:01:28.639$ for each one of these diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:01:28.640 --> 00:01:30.278 including heart disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:01:30.278 --> 00:01:31.916 Alzheimer's and cancers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:01:33.850$ And this is something that

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:33.850 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.394$ we're extremely interested in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:35.400 \longrightarrow 00:01:37.668$ And what we are also extremely

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:01:37.668 --> 00:01:40.080 interested in is the interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:40.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:43.935$ that occurs between development of

00:01:43.935 --> 00:01:47.790 obesity and chronic obesity that

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}01{:}47.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}51.016$ spills into age as we get older.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:01:51.016 --> 00:01:53.480 So these are data from CDC and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:56.946$ you can see that individuals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}01{:}56.946 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}59.660$ are older adults that are between

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:01:59.660 \longrightarrow 00:02:01.560$ the ages of 65 and 74,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:01.560 \longrightarrow 00:02:03.726$ in this case the the incidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:03.726 \longrightarrow 00:02:05.600$ of obesity is fairly high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}02{:}05.600 \to 00{:}02{:}09.674$ almost 40% of older adults have obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:09.680 \longrightarrow 00:02:12.116$ And what in terms of mechanisms,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}02{:}12.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}15.216$ we know very little about how

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:15.216 \longrightarrow 00:02:18.623$ the basic biology of aging and

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:18.623 \longrightarrow 00:02:21.718$ the aging processes are impacted

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}02{:}21.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}24.084$ when we have this chronic obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:24.084 \longrightarrow 00:02:26.200$ that is present in aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:26.200 \longrightarrow 00:02:28.140$ There are some studies,

00:02:28.140 --> 00:02:30.080 including some from Yale.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}02{:}30.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}34.280$ But what's very intriguing is this

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:34.280 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.080$ response that you see in all 'cause

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:02:37.080 --> 00:02:40.424 mortality and the BMI with age, right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:40.424 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.960$ So it's a paradox.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:02:41.960 --> 00:02:45.268 So you will notice that on the Y axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:45.268 \longrightarrow 00:02:46.903$ this is the mortality hazard

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:46.903 \longrightarrow 00:02:48.837$ ratio and the BMI listed here

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:48.840 \longrightarrow 00:02:51.312$ that if as we get older and the

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:51.312 \longrightarrow 00:02:53.479$ chances of mortality increases.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:53.480 \longrightarrow 00:02:55.360$ If the BMI is high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00:02:55.360 \longrightarrow 00:02:57.240$ obviously that is expected.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}02{:}57.240 \longrightarrow 00{:}03{:}00.060$ But also the chances of mortality

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:03:00.141 --> 00:03:02.725 are much higher if the BMI is on

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:03:02.725 --> 00:03:04.998 the lower end of the spectrum.

 $00:03:05.000 \longrightarrow 00:03:08.150$ How these things are actually mechanistically

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

 $00{:}03{:}08.150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10.720$ related are not very well known.

NOTE Confidence: 0.863808744

00:03:10.720 --> 00:03:12.640 It's also not known whether you know the

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

00:03:16.680 --> 00:03:19.557 point of time. But it's also well

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:19.557 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.180$ not known whether if one carries on

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:22.180 \longrightarrow 00:03:24.840$ obesity and aging risk all this way,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:24.840 \longrightarrow 00:03:27.199$ whether this is already a fait accompli,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:27.200 \longrightarrow 00:03:29.752$ Is there anything we can do to this

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:29.752 \longrightarrow 00:03:32.118$ process that would enhance our health?

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:32.120 \longrightarrow 00:03:34.256$ So we have been interested in

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00{:}03{:}34.256 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}36.091$ negative energy balance and how

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

00:03:36.091 --> 00:03:37.836 to induce negative energy balance

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:37.836 \longrightarrow 00:03:40.200$ and what that does to Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

00:03:40.200 --> 00:03:42.600 And as Tomas had mentioned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:42.600 \longrightarrow 00:03:45.258$ one of the key interventions that

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00{:}03{:}45.258 {\: -->\:} 00{:}03{:}47.542$ was discovered almost 100 years

 $00{:}03{:}47.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}49.717$ ago that extends life span and

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:49.717 \longrightarrow 00:03:52.440$ health span is caloric restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:52.440 \longrightarrow 00:03:56.691$ And so as you know obesity is a

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:56.691 \longrightarrow 00:03:58.999$ fundamental disorder of thermodynamics.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:03:59.000 \longrightarrow 00:04:01.163$ So you have energy intake and you

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

00:04:01.163 --> 00:04:03.359 have energy out energy expenditure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:04:03.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:05.202$ We heard a lot about everything

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00{:}04{:}05.202 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}06.760$ that's currently is known about

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:04:06.760 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.694$ obesity interventions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00{:}04{:}07.694 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}09.095$ pharmacologic interventions are

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00{:}04{:}09.095 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}11.848$ all on energy intake which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

00:04:11.848 --> 00:04:13.276 inhibiting your food intake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00{:}04{:}13.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}15.360$ And we are very much interested to see

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:04:15.360 \longrightarrow 00:04:17.158$ what's the other side of the axis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:04:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:04:19.638$ Is there a way to expend energy?

00:04:19.640 --> 00:04:19.884 Anyway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

00:04:19.884 --> 00:04:22.400 I'm not going to go into the details of this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:04:22.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:24.031$ but we know that when the host

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:04:24.031 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.559$ is in negative energy balance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

00:04:25.560 --> 00:04:27.000 you get lifespan extension.

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

00:04:27.000 --> 00:04:28.800 Actually you have less diseases,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:04:28.800 \longrightarrow 00:04:31.558$ except there's a dirty little secret here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:04:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.794$ which is as we get this longevity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

00:04:34.800 --> 00:04:38.960 this longevity dividend comes at

NOTE Confidence: 0.699415944545455

 $00:04:38.960 \longrightarrow 00:04:41.040$ with the risks of these trade-offs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

00:04:43.760 --> 00:04:47.099 So animal models that live long,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:04:47.099 \longrightarrow 00:04:48.266$ all of them, they live,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:04:48.266 --> 00:04:50.319 they do live long, but they have,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:04:50.319 --> 00:04:52.648 they're growth ****** they do not breed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:04:52.648 \longrightarrow 00:04:54.914$ If you take them out from a specific

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:04:54.914 \longrightarrow 00:04:58.278$ pathogen free facility, they fall dead.

00:04:58.280 --> 00:04:59.044 And we've been studying

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:04:59.044 \longrightarrow 00:04:59.999$ this for almost 100 years.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00{:}05{:}00.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}02.338$ And the question has been if this

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:02.338 \longrightarrow 00:05:03.808$ intervention that extends lifespan

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00{:}05{:}03.808 \to 00{:}05{:}05.838$ has this multiple health benefits.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:05.840 \longrightarrow 00:05:08.054$ If are we going to ever harness any of

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:05:08.054 \longrightarrow 00:05:09.752$ the so-called Pella restriction mimetics?

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:09.752 \longrightarrow 00:05:12.560$ Is it actually relevant to human Physiology?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:05:12.560 \longrightarrow 00:05:14.536$ And this is what we have been trying

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:05:14.536 \longrightarrow 00:05:16.800$ to do over the past 15 years or so.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:05:16.800 --> 00:05:18.375 I'm not going to go into the

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00{:}05{:}18.375 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}19.600$ details of model organisms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00{:}05{:}19.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}21.399$ Both Tomas and I are failed veterinarians.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:05:21.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:23.392$ It's very nice to give a

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:23.392 \longrightarrow 00:05:25.700$ talk right after him. So.

 $00:05:25.700 \longrightarrow 00:05:29.735$ But the idea here is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00{:}05{:}29.735 --> 00{:}05{:}30.645 \ {\rm dietary \ interventions},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:05:30.645 \longrightarrow 00:05:32.920$ especially things like caloric restriction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:05:32.920 --> 00:05:35.520 if you do in animals, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:35.520 \longrightarrow 00:05:39.360$ animal in a cage does not sign consent forms.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:05:39.360 --> 00:05:40.956 It doesn't know if you're going to,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

00:05:40.960 --> 00:05:42.598 you know, give 40% less calories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:05:42.600 --> 00:05:44.595 Animal doesn't know what's going to happen.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:05:44.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:46.872$ So the idea is to actually do a

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:46.872 \longrightarrow 00:05:48.359$ randomized controlled study in people,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:48.360 \longrightarrow 00:05:50.403$ see if it is relevant to human Physiology in

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:50.403 \longrightarrow 00:05:52.719$ in terms of inducing negative energy balance,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:52.720 \longrightarrow 00:05:54.400$ most importantly without

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:05:54.400 \longrightarrow 00:05:56.080$ inducing these trade-offs.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:56.080 \longrightarrow 00:05:57.420$ And many of these trade-offs

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:05:57.420 \longrightarrow 00:05:58.760$ actually come from stress signaling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666666700:05:58.760 --> 00:05:59.292 All right.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:05:59.292 \longrightarrow 00:06:01.154$ And see if that is actually relevant.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00{:}06{:}01.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03.848$ Can we harness those endogenous factors

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:03.848 \longrightarrow 00:06:05.995$ assigned causality using the animal models?

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:06:05.995 \longrightarrow 00:06:08.246$ And this was a trial that was funded

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:08.246 \longrightarrow 00:06:10.238$ by the National Institute on Aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:10.240 \longrightarrow 00:06:11.488$ It's now complete.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:11.488 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.984$ This trial was initiated while I

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

00:06:13.984 --> 00:06:15.740 was starting my faculty position

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00{:}06{:}15.740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}17.080$ in Pennington in Louisiana.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:17.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:19.355$ And the idea that NIH wanted was

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:19.360 \longrightarrow 00:06:21.450$ that we take healthy people, OK?

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00{:}06{:}21.450 --> 00{:}06{:}21.820 {\rm \ See},$

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:06:21.820 \longrightarrow 00:06:23.670$ if we restrict the calories

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:23.670 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.216$ by 25% for two years,

00:06:26.216 --> 00:06:28.936 would that delay the trajectory

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00{:}06{:}28.936 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}31.480$ of reducing the risk of disease

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:06:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:34.800$ and slowing the process of aging?

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:34.800 \longrightarrow 00:06:36.732$ It was a really an ambitious goal

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:36.732 \longrightarrow 00:06:38.657$ because there is no way to know after

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00{:}06{:}38.657 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}40.456$ two years how you have actually traject

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:06:40.456 \longrightarrow 00:06:41.920$ changed the trajectory of aging.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:41.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:43.078$ You know there are no markers,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:06:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:06:44.480$ there are no biomarkers or

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:44.480 \longrightarrow 00:06:45.880$ or any of those things.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667 00:06:45.880 --> 00:06:47.760 Anyway, NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:47.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:50.200$ what we have been interested in is to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:50.263 \longrightarrow 00:06:52.594$ it is indeed relevant to human Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:06:52.600 \longrightarrow 00:06:54.625$ Can we harness some of

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:54.625 \longrightarrow 00:06:55.840$ those endogenous factors?

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:06:55.840 \longrightarrow 00:06:58.444$ So this is what happens when people

 $00:06:58.444 \longrightarrow 00:06:59.560$ undergo caloric restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00{:}06{:}59.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01.738$ So actually humans in free living

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00{:}07{:}01.738 \longrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03.527$ condition can only undergo about

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:07:03.527 \longrightarrow 00:07:05.008$ 14% or 15% caloric restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

00:07:05.008 --> 00:07:06.820 The reason why we have obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:07:06.872 --> 00:07:08.612 epidemic is because exercise and

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:07:08.612 --> 00:07:10.352 reducing food intake doesn't work.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:07:10.360 \longrightarrow 00:07:12.160$ That's why we have pharmacology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:07:12.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:13.438$ The key point here is that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00{:}07{:}13.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}15.490$ so this is transcriptional data

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00{:}07{:}15.490 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}16.720$ from a dipose transcriptome,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:07:16.720 \longrightarrow 00:07:18.000$ data from adipose tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00{:}07{:}18.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}20.048$ These are individuals at the baseline.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:07:20.048 \longrightarrow 00:07:21.104$ These are individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:07:21.104 \longrightarrow 00:07:22.160$ after caloric restriction.

 $00:07:22.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:24.496$ And you can see the remarkable change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00{:}07{:}24.496 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}26.240$ the transcriptome of the adipose tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

 $00:07:26.240 \longrightarrow 00:07:27.840$ And I recall that when we were doing

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:07:27.840 --> 00:07:28.852 this analysis, my collaborator,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:07:28.852 --> 00:07:30.076 Washu Mike Sardomoff says,

NOTE Confidence: 0.955366636666667

00:07:30.080 --> 00:07:31.142 oh, you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.95536663666667

 $00:07:31.142 \longrightarrow 00:07:32.558$ your mice look amazing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:07:32.560 \longrightarrow 00:07:34.600$ These are not inbred 99.9%

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}07{:}34.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}35.545$ genetically identical animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:07:35.545 \longrightarrow 00:07:37.120$ As far as I know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:07:37.120 \longrightarrow 00:07:38.848$ They're all human beings.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:07:38.848 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.430$ Yeah. So I'm not going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:07:40.430 \longrightarrow 00:07:41.920$ go into the detail of that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}07{:}41.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}44.860$ but share some really new data

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:07:44.860 \longrightarrow 00:07:48.385$ in next 5 minutes or so that is I

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:07:48.385 --> 00:07:49.960 think is quite serendipitous in,

 $00:07:49.960 \longrightarrow 00:07:51.955$ in many ways that we have found

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}07{:}51.960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}53.330$ suggesting that there are several

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:07:53.330 \longrightarrow 00:07:55.060$ other pathways that could be really

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:07:55.060 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.920$ interesting and important for weight loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}07{:}56.920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58.512$ So one of the things that we have

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}07{:}58.512 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}59.994$ been very interested to see is

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:07:59.994 \longrightarrow 00:08:01.289$ also the changes in metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:01.289 \longrightarrow 00:08:03.107$ that that occurs when individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}08{:}03.107 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}04.238$ undergo caloric restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:04.240 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.476$ So when we did the metabolomics,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:05.480 \longrightarrow 00:08:08.000$ the the pathway that really lit up

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:08.000 \longrightarrow 00:08:10.675$ was the pathway that is associated

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:10.675 \longrightarrow 00:08:13.200$ with taurine and cysteine metabolism,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:13.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:15.444$ which led me into this area that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:15.444 \longrightarrow 00:08:17.154$ we had never thought about,

 $00:08:17.160 \longrightarrow 00:08:19.200$ which is the transalphuration path.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:19.200 \longrightarrow 00:08:20.060$ Please don't hate me.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:20.060 \longrightarrow 00:08:21.912$ This is not meant for you to kind of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:21.912 \longrightarrow 00:08:23.520$ really look into it in any details.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:23.520 \longrightarrow 00:08:25.480$ We've we've all suffered through TCA cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714 00:08:25.480 --> 00:08:25.749 OK. NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:08:25.749 --> 00:08:27.632 The key point here is I want

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:08:27.632 --> 00:08:29.880 you to focus on cysteine, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:08:29.880 --> 00:08:33.080 This is a fundamental pathway that is really,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:33.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:35.299$ really critical for us in terms of

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:35.299 \longrightarrow 00:08:37.560$ maintenance of sulfur amino acid metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714 00:08:37.560 --> 00:08:37.873 OK. NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:37.873 \longrightarrow 00:08:39.751$ Why is this metabolism showing up

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:39.751 \longrightarrow 00:08:41.878$ in this calorie restriction we had?

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:41.880 \longrightarrow 00:08:43.623$ This is not what we predicted and

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:43.623 \dashrightarrow 00:08:45.600$ that's the beauty of science in many ways.

 $00:08:45.600 \longrightarrow 00:08:49.132$ So this is the enzyme just quickly.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:49.132 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.210$ So cysteine is made from this

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:52.210 \longrightarrow 00:08:54.435$ enzyme here cystathione gamma lyase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:54.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:56.155$ And when we checked our transcriptional data,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:08:56.160 --> 00:08:57.830 indeed this enzyme is very

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:08:57.830 \longrightarrow 00:09:00.162$ critical and it gets up regulated

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:00.162 \longrightarrow 00:09:01.920$ upon caloric restriction.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:01.920 \longrightarrow 00:09:03.805$ So prediction would be that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:09:03.805 --> 00:09:05.313 you have more cysteine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:08.280$ well actually just before that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}09{:}08.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}09.546$ and this increase of this enzyme

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:09:09.546 --> 00:09:11.000 while we were doing these studies,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}09{:}11.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13.166$ this paper came out from vitamin

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}09{:}13.166 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}15.394$ Gladyshave's lab that this enzyme is

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:15.394 \longrightarrow 00:09:17.199$ also increase in multiple intervention

 $00:09:17.199 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.120$ that in in mice that extend lifespan.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:20.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:20.800$ So we thought that OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:20.800 \longrightarrow 00:09:22.676$ we are probably on the right trajectory,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714 00:09:22.680 --> 00:09:22.998 right. NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:22.998 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.542$ And and this is the kind of a

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}09{:}25.542 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}28.027$ snapshot of how cysteine metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}09{:}28.027 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}30.115$ and transulphuration looks like.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:09:32.280$ So this is the methionine cycle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}09{:}32.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}34.226$ All of you know that cysteine is

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:09:34.226 --> 00:09:36.171 dietary non essential and I'm going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}09{:}36.171 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38.320$ show you that it's actually really essential.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:09:38.320 --> 00:09:39.839 It may be dietary is not essential,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:39.840 \longrightarrow 00:09:41.560$ it's really essential for Physiology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:41.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:44.266$ So this enzyme increases by caloric

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:44.266 \longrightarrow 00:09:46.592$ restriction and what it does is it

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:46.592 \longrightarrow 00:09:47.840$ converts histathionine into cysteine.

00:09:47.840 --> 00:09:50.080 And as you know cysteine is a very

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}09{:}50.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}51.648$ important substrate for multiple

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:09:51.648 --> 00:09:53.160 things including glutathione.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:09:53.160 --> 00:09:55.477 And the key part of cysteine is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}09{:}55.480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}58.605$ is this very critical modification

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:09:58.605 \longrightarrow 00:10:00.480$ here thiol group.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:10:00.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:02.771$ It's the only amino acid that

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:10:02.771 \longrightarrow 00:10:04.404$ has a thiol group in it, OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:10:04.404 \longrightarrow 00:10:06.224$ And that is absolutely essential

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:10:06.224 \longrightarrow 00:10:07.316$ for its function.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:10:07.320 --> 00:10:08.259 But you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}10{:}08.259 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}10.137$ prediction would be that if the

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00{:}10{:}10.137 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.910$ cysteine levels are high, oh, sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:10:11.910 \longrightarrow 00:10:13.555$ the if the Cth levels are high,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:10:13.560 \longrightarrow 00:10:15.102$ then the cysteine levels are going

00:10:15.102 --> 00:10:16.930 to be higher in calorie restriction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:10:16.930 \longrightarrow 00:10:18.680$ but it's actually the opposite.

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

 $00:10:18.680 \longrightarrow 00:10:20.960$ So what happens is in individuals

NOTE Confidence: 0.896328714

00:10:20.960 --> 00:10:22.480 that undergo calorie restriction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:10:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:24.216$ if you measure cysteine levels in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:10:24.216 --> 00:10:25.728 adipose tissue, it's actually lower.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:10:25.728 --> 00:10:28.902 So Cth is in terms of going up to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}10{:}28.902 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}31.158$ kind of maintain the cysteine levels.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}10{:}31.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33.650$ So what we decided to do was to see if

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:10:33.719 \longrightarrow 00:10:36.551$ we can target CDH and create a artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}10{:}36.551 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38.640$ condition of regulating cysteine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}10{:}38.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}39.858$ And the way you do that because

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:10:39.858 --> 00:10:41.160 if you just restrict cysteine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}10{:}41.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}43.168$ it's not going to make any difference because

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:10:43.168 \longrightarrow 00:10:45.240$ body is going to make cysteine from CDH.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:10:45.240 \longrightarrow 00:10:46.880$ So what we did was create this mouse.

 $00:10:46.880 \longrightarrow 00:10:49.124$ This work was done by my

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:10:49.124 --> 00:10:51.000 former PhD student Eileen Lee.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:10:51.000 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.914$ So she created these animals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:10:52.914 \longrightarrow 00:10:54.994$ lack Cth enzyme and then restricted

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:10:54.994 \longrightarrow 00:10:56.478$ cysteine in the diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:10:56.480 \longrightarrow 00:10:58.432$ And what it does is that in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:10:58.432 \longrightarrow 00:11:00.200$ case there is no cysteine being

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}11{:}00.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02.036$ made or is either being consumed.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:02.040 \longrightarrow 00:11:03.620$ The mice are normal.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:03.620 \longrightarrow 00:11:06.382$ Most of these mice in in when

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:06.382 \longrightarrow 00:11:07.958$ they're in the cysteine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:07.960 \longrightarrow 00:11:09.115$ When you give cysteine in the diet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:09.120 --> 00:11:10.347 they're totally fine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:10.347 \longrightarrow 00:11:13.210$ But notice what happens to the knockouts

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:13.278 --> 00:11:15.714 when you remove cysteine in the diet,

00:11:15.720 --> 00:11:17.238 they undergo massive,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:17.238 --> 00:11:18.756 massive weight loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:18.760 --> 00:11:20.084 And this weight loss,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:20.084 \longrightarrow 00:11:22.680$ weight loss is really specific to cysteine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:22.680 \longrightarrow 00:11:24.912$ So if we so this is the weight loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}11{:}24.912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}26.840$ here with restricting cysteine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:26.840 --> 00:11:29.080 If you put cysteine back in the diet

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:29.080 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.872$ they gain weight back up and you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}11{:}31.872 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}35.437$ we did this for three cycles just for fun.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:35.440 --> 00:11:37.200 These animals don't have disease,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:37.200 --> 00:11:37.688 OK,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:37.688 \longrightarrow 00:11:39.640$ they don't have malaise.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}11{:}39.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}41.182$ So these are the knockouts on

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:41.182 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.953$ cysteine free diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:41.960 --> 00:11:44.277 They are pretty they are pretty healthy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}11{:}44.280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}46.268$ So the question is what are these

00:11:46.268 --> 00:11:48.116 mice dying of or what is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}11{:}48.116 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}49.610$ happening in terms of weight loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:49.661 --> 00:11:51.101 because we know that weight loss

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:51.101 \longrightarrow 00:11:52.720$ can be healthy and UN unhealthy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:52.720 --> 00:11:55.445 What was really striking in

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}11{:}55.445 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}58.115$ these animals was that when we

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:11:58.115 --> 00:11:59.840 depleted cysteine from the system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:11:59.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:02.420$ what it did was it completely

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}12{:}02.420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}04.718$ changed the white adipose tissue

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}12{:}04.718 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}07.110$ into brown adipose tissue and it

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}12{:}07.110 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.280$ was not like a minor response,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:08.280 \longrightarrow 00:12:09.980$ it was total conversion of

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:09.980 \longrightarrow 00:12:11.000$ the adipose tissue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:11.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:13.520$ both subcutaneous as well as visceral.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667 00:12:13.520 --> 00:12:14.880 All right. NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667 00:12:14.880 --> 00:12:17.000 And this is just the marker UCP one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}12{:}17.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}19.000$ which is critical for uncoupling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:19.000 \longrightarrow 00:12:20.200$ We all know that mice,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:20.200 \longrightarrow 00:12:21.760$ you know they show this response

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:12:21.760 --> 00:12:23.526 typically if they're in sub thermal

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:23.526 \longrightarrow 00:12:25.206$ neutral temperature because our animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:25.206 \longrightarrow 00:12:27.480$ facilities are 22° C whereas you and I,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:27.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:29.436$ we all live in thermal neutrality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:29.440 \longrightarrow 00:12:31.344$ So what Eileen did was did the

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:31.344 \longrightarrow 00:12:32.758$ same experiment in animals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:12:32.758 --> 00:12:34.840 are kept at 30°C which is thermal

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:34.840 \longrightarrow 00:12:37.096$ neutral zone and it is independent

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:37.096 \longrightarrow 00:12:39.116$ of thermal neutrality or cold.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:39.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:41.040$ So these animals still lose weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:41.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:42.066$ dramatic weight loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:42.066 \longrightarrow 00:12:44.460$ And this weight loss in animals is

 $00:12:44.527 \longrightarrow 00:12:46.873$ considered lethal because they have to

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:46.873 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.359$ euthanize animals at this point of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:49.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:52.636$ So idea is what is actually happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:52.640 \longrightarrow 00:12:54.760$ There is increased energy expenditure

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:54.760 \longrightarrow 00:12:56.880$ in these in these animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:12:56.880 \longrightarrow 00:12:59.320$ So they're expending more energy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:12:59.320 --> 00:13:01.154 They're eating the same amount of food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:13:01.160 \longrightarrow 00:13:03.440$ but they're expending more energy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}13{:}03.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05.200$ So we collaborated with Famid

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}13{:}05.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}06.946$ Heider and Daniel Komen here and

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00:13:06.946 \longrightarrow 00:13:08.958$ and Famid's lab has this fantastic

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

00:13:08.958 --> 00:13:10.526 technique to actually measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.817405406666667

 $00{:}13{:}10.526 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}12.094$ actual temperature in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:12.158 \longrightarrow 00:13:14.608$ brown adipose tissue using a probe which

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:13:14.608 --> 00:13:17.059 with with which you can actually measure

 $00:13:17.059 \longrightarrow 00:13:19.405$ the proton relaxation and the proton

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00{:}13{:}19.405 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}21.462$ leak and and you can actually measure

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:21.462 \longrightarrow 00:13:23.560$ temperature that way in a very precise way.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:13:25.756$ And you can see that the there's the brown

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:25.756 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.715$ adipose tissue in this case is indeed hot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:27.720 \longrightarrow 00:13:29.763$ So I will summarize what we have which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:13:29.763 --> 00:13:31.957 so this is the transalphuration pathway,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:33.200$ this is what is happening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:33.200 \longrightarrow 00:13:34.635$ So when we get rid of cysteine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:13:34.640 --> 00:13:36.540 when you deplete cysteine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:36.540 \longrightarrow 00:13:40.400$ what you do is you always have this case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:40.400 \longrightarrow 00:13:42.476$ this loss of cysteine which is

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:42.476 \longrightarrow 00:13:44.342$ associated with loss of glutathione

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:44.342 \longrightarrow 00:13:47.254$ and then cysteine and also go into

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:47.254 \longrightarrow 00:13:49.438$ different pathways that are noted here.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:13:49.440 --> 00:13:51.372 But what happens when you completely

00:13:51.372 --> 00:13:54.069 get rid of cysteine in this in in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00{:}13{:}54.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}56.135$ animals is activation of this arm which

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:13:56.135 --> 00:13:58.097 had previously not been studied in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:58.097 \longrightarrow 00:13:59.932$ great detail which leads to formation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:13:59.932 \longrightarrow 00:14:01.397$ of this gamma glutamil peptides.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:01.400 \longrightarrow 00:14:02.920$ I don't have time to go into that.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:02.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:03.880$ The question you would ask is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:03.880 \longrightarrow 00:14:05.320$ is this dependent on UCP one?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:05.320 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.168$ Because UCP one has been thought

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:14:07.168 --> 00:14:09.538 to be the Holy Grail of energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:09.538 \longrightarrow 00:14:11.680$ expenditure and the answer is no.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:11.680 \longrightarrow 00:14:14.928$ OK, so so we created animals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00{:}14{:}14.928 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}18.080$ lack Cth as well as UCP one,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:18.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:19.285$ There's really no response if

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:14:19.285 --> 00:14:20.919 you if you don't have UCP one,

00:14:20.920 --> 00:14:22.396 the animals brown, they lose weight,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913 00:14:22.400 --> 00:14:24.760 they die. NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:14:24.760 --> 00:14:26.716 So how does it actually work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:26.720 \longrightarrow 00:14:28.561$ What happens is that when you deplete

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:14:28.561 --> 00:14:30.136 cysteine you get the activation

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:14:30.136 --> 00:14:31.600 of sympathetic nervous system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00{:}14{:}31.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}33.360$ you get increase in norepine
phrine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:33.360 \longrightarrow 00:14:35.936$ shown here in the red bar and if

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:14:35.936 --> 00:14:37.470 you block norepinephrine receptors

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:37.470 \longrightarrow 00:14:40.560$ beta 3 adenergic receptor by this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00{:}14{:}40.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}42.890$ compound L70 four in the blue,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:42.890 \longrightarrow 00:14:44.440$ you can protect the animal's

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:44.440 \longrightarrow 00:14:46.079$ death from the weight loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:14:46.080 --> 00:14:47.838 I'll end by just showing you

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:47.840 \longrightarrow 00:14:49.856$ last pieces of data which is pre

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:14:49.856 --> 00:14:51.432 clinical data in animal models

00:14:51.432 --> 00:14:53.920 which is fine we're killing animals,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:53.920 \longrightarrow 00:14:56.226$ normal animals with by disrupting

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:56.226 \longrightarrow 00:14:58.074$ this key pathway which.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:58.080 \longrightarrow 00:14:59.802$ But the question really is whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:14:59.802 \longrightarrow 00:15:01.276$ this can be potentially be

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:01.276 \longrightarrow 00:15:02.636$ harnessed in terms of therapy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:02.640 \longrightarrow 00:15:04.840$ And here are animals that

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:04.840 \longrightarrow 00:15:07.040$ were fed high fat diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:07.040 \longrightarrow 00:15:08.140$ These animals are fed high

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:08.140 \longrightarrow 00:15:09.240$ fat diet for eight weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:09.240 \longrightarrow 00:15:11.920$ Then we switched the high fat diet into

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:15:11.920 --> 00:15:14.759 high fat diet that only lacks cysteine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913 00:15:14.760 --> 00:15:15.080 OK.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00{:}15{:}15.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}17.320$ And they consume same amount of calories.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:17.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:19.759$ And what you get is again a dramatic 30%

 $00:15:19.760 \longrightarrow 00:15:22.556$ weight loss within seven days actually.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913 00:15:22.560 --> 00:15:23.490 All right. NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:23.490 \longrightarrow 00:15:25.815$ And this is associated again

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:25.815 \longrightarrow 00:15:27.703$ with this massive Browning

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:27.703 \longrightarrow 00:15:30.920$ of the of the adipose tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:30.920 \longrightarrow 00:15:34.316$ So what we ended up discovering in

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:34.316 \longrightarrow 00:15:36.430$ a way serendipitously through this

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:36.430 \longrightarrow 00:15:38.560$ study that was initiated in humans

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00{:}15{:}38.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}42.106$ is that the cysteine is actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:15:42.106 --> 00:15:43.879 critical thermogenic checkpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00{:}15{:}43.880 \to 00{:}15{:}45.280$ And if you get rid of cysteine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:45.280 \longrightarrow 00:15:46.852$ the body tries to keep cysteine

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:15:46.852 --> 00:15:48.480 because if you get rid of it,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:48.480 \longrightarrow 00:15:51.318$ you get this uncontrolled energy expenditure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:51.320 \longrightarrow 00:15:52.520$ So in a normal situation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00{:}15{:}52.520 {\:{\mbox{--}}\!\!>}\ 00{:}15{:}54.720$ you're consuming a cysteine in

 $00:15:54.720 \longrightarrow 00:15:56.576$ your diet and this is required

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:56.576 \longrightarrow 00:15:57.796$ for your normal energy storage.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:15:57.800 --> 00:15:59.930 You don't really get engagement

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

 $00:15:59.930 \longrightarrow 00:16:01.634$ of trans sulfuration pathway.

NOTE Confidence: 0.85218012173913

00:16:01.640 --> 00:16:03.040 OK, because you're consuming cysteine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:03.040 \longrightarrow 00:16:05.080$ you don't need to make cysteine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

00:16:05.080 --> 00:16:08.368 However, if you create an artificial

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:08.368 \longrightarrow 00:16:11.600$ situation where trans alteration is required,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00{:}16{:}11.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}13.616$ what you get in this case is this is

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:13.616 \longrightarrow 00:16:15.576$ the methionine cycle and when the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

00:16:15.576 --> 00:16:17.696 cysteine levels go down, it triggers.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

00:16:17.696 --> 00:16:19.248 We don't actually know

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00{:}16{:}19.248 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}21.200$ exactly how it triggers this.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00{:}16{:}21.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}22.950$ This loss of cysteine is sensed by

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:22.950 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.955$ the nervous system in a way that

00:16:24.955 --> 00:16:26.159 basically increases sympathetic tone,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

00:16:26.160 --> 00:16:27.336 it increases Browning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:27.336 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.688$ there is increased proton leak and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:29.688 \longrightarrow 00:16:31.920$ heat production in these animals.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:31.920 \longrightarrow 00:16:33.700$ It's UCP one independent.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:33.700 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.480$ And this is basically,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:35.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:38.600$ you know, how it works. So.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.608$ So what I've told you is that the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00{:}16{:}40.608 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}42.146$ defense of cysteine actually is

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:42.146 \longrightarrow 00:16:43.771$ absolutely critical and I think

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:43.771 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.800$ is a thermogenic checkpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:45.800 \longrightarrow 00:16:46.652$ And this is the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

00:16:46.652 --> 00:16:47.717 as far as I know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

00:16:47.720 --> 00:16:49.440 the only study that I know in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:49.440 \longrightarrow 00:16:50.689$ literature where you can actually

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:50.689 \longrightarrow 00:16:52.237$ kill a mouse by lethal thermogenesis.

00:16:52.240 --> 00:16:55.488 OK, so I've shown you that cysteine

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:55.488 \longrightarrow 00:16:56.720$ starvation causes uncontrolled Browning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

00:16:56.720 --> 00:16:57.716 If you get rid of cysteine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

00:16:57.720 --> 00:16:59.468 it causes uncontrolled Browning

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:16:59.468 \longrightarrow 00:17:01.653$ and the trans alphuration pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:17:01.653 \longrightarrow 00:17:03.539$ which is activated in color

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:17:03.539 \longrightarrow 00:17:05.609$ illustration is actually a way for

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:17:05.674 \longrightarrow 00:17:07.399$ the body to conserve energy.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00{:}17{:}07.400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}09.731$ So obviously the future this is just

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:17:09.731 \longrightarrow 00:17:11.772$ you know really emerging work which

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

00:17:11.772 --> 00:17:14.000 we are very interested and excited by

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00{:}17{:}14.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}16.208$ and will time will tell how far this

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00{:}17{:}16.208 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.720$ goes in terms of clinical translation.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

00:17:18.720 --> 00:17:20.440 All this work was done by Eileen Lee,

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:17:20.440 \longrightarrow 00:17:21.916$ a graduate student in the lab.

00:17:21.920 --> 00:17:23.648 This project is now being LED

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:17:23.648 \longrightarrow 00:17:25.400$ very ably by Lucy Urliegue and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:17:25.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:27.552$ and Abby Lee in the lab and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:17:27.620 \longrightarrow 00:17:29.520$ of course all my collaborators.

NOTE Confidence: 0.820726718333333

 $00:17:29.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:32.520$ I'm not as organized as Tomas to finish

NOTE Confidence: 0.958623666666667

 $00:17:32.520 \longrightarrow 00:17:33.639$ on time. Sorry.

NOTE Confidence: 0.821519966

 $00{:}17{:}41.670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}44.270$ Thank you. Wonderful questions. Please.

NOTE Confidence: 0.87201145

00:17:49.700 --> 00:17:52.682 No, it does not affect energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.87201145

00:17:52.682 --> 00:17:53.780 intake. Yeah. Marcelo,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6110673

 $00:17:57.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:01.375$ hello. My question is more general and it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

 $00:18:01.380 \longrightarrow 00:18:04.228$ I was wondering if in the in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

 $00:18:04.228 \longrightarrow 00:18:07.131$ trials with these drugs in general if

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

 $00:18:07.131 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.632$ there is any evidence that so we know

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

 $00:18:10.632 \longrightarrow 00:18:13.416$ from that there's more brown adipose

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

00:18:13.416 --> 00:18:16.420 tissue in humans presumably in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

 $00:18:16.420 \longrightarrow 00:18:19.740$ winter here or in cold climates versus

 $00:18:19.740 \longrightarrow 00:18:22.880$ people that live in tropical climates.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

 $00{:}18{:}22.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}26.079$ So in terms of this thermogenic checkpoint,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

 $00:18:26.080 \longrightarrow 00:18:29.072$ if there is any evidence that these drugs

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

 $00:18:29.072 \longrightarrow 00:18:32.112$ and to visit the drugs work more or

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

00:18:32.112 --> 00:18:35.370 less in the winter versus the summer in

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

 $00:18:35.370 \longrightarrow 00:18:38.600$ the tropics versus in the cold climate,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

 $00:18:38.600 \longrightarrow 00:18:42.168$ if there is any, There's so many people.

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

00:18:42.168 --> 00:18:43.920 So if there's any evidence there,

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

00:18:43.920 --> 00:18:45.520 there's some interaction with

NOTE Confidence: 0.856527193

00:18:45.520 --> 00:18:46.720 the thermogenic checkpoint.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

00:18:47.720 --> 00:18:49.160 Yeah, I don't know, Marcelo.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00{:}18{:}49.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.536$ I mean this is a question that you

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00{:}18{:}50.536 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}51.727$ know folks that study thermogenesis

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00:18:51.727 \longrightarrow 00:18:52.799$ have been asked several,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00:18:52.800 \longrightarrow 00:18:54.366$ several times that you know there

 $00{:}18{:}54.366 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}55.710$ are people living in Scandinavia

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00{:}18{:}55.710 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}57.404$ in an Arctic and are there is

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

00:18:57.404 --> 00:18:58.958 the less incidence of obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00:18:58.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.808$ there is some association but association

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00{:}19{:}00.808 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}02.850$ is not causalities but and most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00:19:02.850 \longrightarrow 00:19:04.356$ those times people are still majority

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00:19:04.356 \longrightarrow 00:19:06.200$ of the times in thermal neutrality.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00:19:06.200 \longrightarrow 00:19:07.742$ You know we still are in

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00:19:07.742 \longrightarrow 00:19:08.513$ thermal neutral condition.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

00:19:08.520 --> 00:19:10.440 So they're never though these pathways

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00:19:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:13.145$ are never you know kind of activated.

NOTE Confidence: 0.890015322

 $00:19:13.145 \longrightarrow 00:19:16.639$ So it's not really clear hopefully in time,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6933973

 $00{:}19{:}19.680 --> 00{:}19{:}19.960 \ \mathrm{right}$

NOTE Confidence: 0.350200956666667

 $00{:}19{:}22.120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}24.480$ expands quite substantially in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.697018664166667

 $00:19:26.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:28.725$ winter. It tells us that even though

NOTE Confidence: 0.697018664166667

00:19:28.725 --> 00:19:30.440 we are regulating our climate,

 $00:19:30.440 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.800$ we are not absolutely determinate

NOTE Confidence: 0.697018664166667

 $00:19:32.800 \longrightarrow 00:19:34.918$ otherwise, you know, yeah,

NOTE Confidence: 0.697018664166667

00:19:34.920 --> 00:19:36.580 counter regulation for increasing.

NOTE Confidence: 0.697018664166667

 $00:19:36.580 \longrightarrow 00:19:38.240$ So my point is

NOTE Confidence: 0.715704338

00:19:38.560 --> 00:19:40.646 to see if the cysteine pathway

NOTE Confidence: 0.715704338

 $00:19:40.646 \longrightarrow 00:19:42.476$ is potentially affected in there

NOTE Confidence: 0.502148814444444

 $00:19:44.160 \longrightarrow 00:19:46.026$ in the winter. They are taking

NOTE Confidence: 0.502148814444444

 $00{:}19{:}46.026 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}48.160$ some appetite and all the, yeah

NOTE Confidence: 0.45717192

 $00:19:51.960 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.595$ are more effective like the

NOTE Confidence: 0.45717192

 $00:19:53.595 \longrightarrow 00:19:55.720$ individual that you were studying.

NOTE Confidence: 0.45717192

 $00:19:55.720 \longrightarrow 00:19:58.800$ Yeah, gender question. Yeah, potentially.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938694187142857

 $00:20:00.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:02.194$ Yeah, clinically we haven't seen that yet,

NOTE Confidence: 0.938694187142857

 $00{:}20{:}02.200$ --> $00{:}20{:}04.400$ but it's something to consider.

NOTE Confidence: 0.938694187142857

 $00:20:04.400 \longrightarrow 00:20:05.600$ One more quick question shot.

NOTE Confidence: 0.436012798

 $00:20:09.800 \longrightarrow 00:20:10.640$ Yeah, the bill will work.

00:20:10.640 --> 00:20:15.230 The what is what do you think primary

NOTE Confidence: 0.436012798

 $00{:}20{:}15.230 \to 00{:}20{:}17.840$ side of action of positive restriction,

NOTE Confidence: 0.436012798

 $00:20:17.840 \longrightarrow 00:20:20:080$ whether when wrong type self or

NOTE Confidence: 0.436012798

 $00:20:20.080 \longrightarrow 00:20:22.240$ what type self or the mother? Yeah.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

00:20:22.520 --> 00:20:24.704 So, so Shawn Yang is asking

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

00:20:24.704 --> 00:20:26.160 how does cysteine work?

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:26.160 \longrightarrow 00:20:27.944$ Where is it acting?

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:27.944 \longrightarrow 00:20:29.785$ And the answer is complex.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:29.785 \longrightarrow 00:20:33.408$ So we have knocked it out in multiple places,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

00:20:33.408 --> 00:20:35.108 including liver, adipose tissue.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

00:20:35.108 --> 00:20:37.680 And if you knock it out in specific cells,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:37.680 \longrightarrow 00:20:40.410$ there is no effect because cysteine

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:40.410 \longrightarrow 00:20:43.710$ is so important for the host that

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:43.710 \longrightarrow 00:20:46.035$ other organs compensate for it.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:46.040 \longrightarrow 00:20:47.438$ As far as brain is concerned,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:47.440 \longrightarrow 00:20:49.316$ this is a really a great question.

 $00:20:49.320 \longrightarrow 00:20:50.804$ We don't really know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:50.804 \longrightarrow 00:20:52.659$ We only have preliminary evidence

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:52.659 \longrightarrow 00:20:54.920$ as at this point of time and I

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

00:20:54.920 --> 00:20:56.360 think we are working with Tomasha's

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:56.360 \longrightarrow 00:20:57.997$ lab to see and Bernardo here,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:20:58.000 \longrightarrow 00:21:00.310$ who's in I guess in the audience

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:00.310 \longrightarrow 00:21:01.536$ somewhere to see if cysteine

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:01.536 \longrightarrow 00:21:02.880$ is being sensed in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

00:21:02.880 --> 00:21:04.158 If you get rid of cysteine in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:04.160 \longrightarrow 00:21:06.477$ is that the one that is triggering

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:06.477 \longrightarrow 00:21:07.880$ the sympathetic nervous system

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:07.880 \longrightarrow 00:21:09.200$ outflow into the adipose tissue?

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00{:}21{:}09.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}10.020$ But yes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:10.020 \longrightarrow 00:21:12.976$ one thing is very clear that that

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

00:21:12.976 --> 00:21:15.439 the lack of cysteine triggers

 $00:21:15.439 \longrightarrow 00:21:17.355$ the upstream sympathetic activity

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:17.355 \longrightarrow 00:21:20.333$ that basically then leads to the

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:20.333 \longrightarrow 00:21:22.085$ thermogenic major thermogenic response.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:22.085 \longrightarrow 00:21:24.160$ And most of the thermogenic

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00{:}21{:}24.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}26.265$ response is independent of all

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00{:}21{:}26.265 \dashrightarrow 00{:}21{:}28.280$ the known current currently known

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:28.280 \longrightarrow 00:21:29.990$ pathways that people have been

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

00:21:29.990 --> 00:21:31.893 publishing in terms of uncoupling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:31.893 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.558$ None of those are involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.69485578

 $00:21:34.560 \longrightarrow 00:21:34.920$ Great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.940140543076923

00:21:34.920 --> 00:21:37.026 OK, With that we're going to go ahead and

NOTE Confidence: 0.940140543076923

 $00:21:37.026 \longrightarrow 00:21:39.080$ move into our break. Thank you so much.