WEBVTT

NOTE duration:"00:20:09.0800000"

NOTE recognizability:0.894

NOTE language:en-us

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

00:00:00.000 --> 00:00:02.240 I'm going to introduce our next speaker,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

00:00:02.240 --> 00:00:04.150 So Doctor Murray Cirilli earned

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

 $00{:}00{:}04{.}150 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}07{.}190$ her MD and PhD from the University

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

00:00:07.190 -> 00:00:09.092 of Amsterdam, the Netherlands,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

 $00{:}00{:}09{.}092 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}11.648$ and is an endocrinologist at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

00:00:11.648 --> 00:00:13.480 Amsterdam University Medical Center.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

 $00:00:13.480 \longrightarrow 00:00:16.920$ In 2019 she became the Professor of Medicine,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

00:00:16.920 --> 00:00:18.412 Nutrition and Energy Metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

00:00:18.412 --> 00:00:20.277 at the University of Amsterdam,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

 $00{:}00{:}20{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}23.640$ and in 2023 she moved to Yale University,

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

 $00:00:23.640 \longrightarrow 00:00:25.719$ while she was promoted to Professor of

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

 $00{:}00{:}25.719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}28.039$ Medicine in the section of Endocrinology.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

 $00{:}00{:}28.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}30.500$ Her research interests lie in the

 $00:00:30.500 \rightarrow 00:00:32.351$ metabolic consequences of obesity and

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

 $00:00:32.351 \dashrightarrow 00:00:34.839$ the role of the brain in weight gain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.88485608

00:00:34.840 --> 00:00:36.280 Doctor Sohaili,

NOTE Confidence: 0.807277218888889

 $00:00:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:00:47.045$ thank you Anya and for

NOTE Confidence: 0.807277218888889

 $00:00:47.045 \rightarrow 00:00:48.713$ organizing this great initiative.

NOTE Confidence: 0.807277218888889

 $00:00:48.720 \longrightarrow 00:00:51.152$ So I'm going to talk mostly

NOTE Confidence: 0.807277218888889

 $00{:}00{:}51.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}00{:}54.502$ data in humans and data on

NOTE Confidence: 0.807277218888889

 $00:00:54.502 \rightarrow 00:00:57.200$ the on the human brain. So,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00{:}00{:}59{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}04{.}440$ so the the, the prevalence of of

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:04.440 \dashrightarrow 00:01:08.140$ obesity really parallels the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

00:01:08.140 --> 00:01:11.440 increase in availability of food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:11.440 \longrightarrow 00:01:12.700$ So apparently when there's

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:12.700 \longrightarrow 00:01:14.275$ a lot of food around,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:14.280 \rightarrow 00:01:18.277$ people eat more than they actually need.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:18.280 \dashrightarrow 00:01:21.213$ So the question really is why do

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:21.213 \rightarrow 00:01:24.119$ we eat beyond homeostatic need?

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779
- 00:01:24.120 --> 00:01:27.708 And I think that answer mostly

 $00:01:27.708 \longrightarrow 00:01:31.476$ can be found in the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:31.480 \longrightarrow 00:01:35.645$ So to very briefly summarize the food

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:35.645 \rightarrow 00:01:38.224$ intake regulation so that you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

00:01:38.224 --> 00:01:40.826 you understand more of what we've been

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:40.826 \rightarrow 00:01:43.076$ doing with neuroimaging in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:43.080 \longrightarrow 00:01:45.556$ I want to just briefly guide you

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:45.556 \rightarrow 00:01:47.146$ through this very complex regulation

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:47.146 \longrightarrow 00:01:49.039$ and this is very simplified.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00{:}01{:}49{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}01{:}53{.}988$ So there are many signals coming from

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:53.988 \dashrightarrow 00:01:57.516$ the body, including the gut peptides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

00:01:57.520 --> 00:01:59.216 GOP, 1G, IPCCK, etcetera,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:01:59.216 \rightarrow 00:02:02:368$ ghrelin that inform the brain about food

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00{:}02{:}02{:}03{68} \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}06{.}272$ in the stomach and intestines or no food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779

 $00:02:06.280 \rightarrow 00:02:09.320$ But other factors like nutrients,

- 00:02:09.320 --> 00:02:09.791 glucose,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779
- $00{:}02{:}09{.}791 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}12{.}146$ hormones like insulin and leptin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779
- $00{:}02{:}12.146 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}14.536$ from a dipose tissue also inform
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779
- $00:02:14.536 \longrightarrow 00:02:16.676$ different areas in the brain,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779
- $00{:}02{:}16.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}18.160$ as you can see here.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779
- $00{:}02{:}18.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}20.456$ And then all these signals are put
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779
- $00{:}02{:}20{.}456 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}22{.}830$ together and then that leads to a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8079779
- $00:02:22.830 \rightarrow 00:02:24.480$ feeding or no feeding response.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00{:}02{:}27{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}29{.}351$ To make it even more simple to
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:02:29.351 \longrightarrow 00:02:31.640$ be able to study this in humans,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:02:31.640 \longrightarrow 00:02:35.120$ we had to simplify the model even further.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:02:35.120 \longrightarrow 00:02:37.528$ So we defied, and this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00{:}02{:}37{.}528 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}38{.}895$ is a little artificial,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:02:38.895 \longrightarrow 00:02:41.625$ but the food intake regulation
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00{:}02{:}41.625 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}44.378$ systems in a homeostatic part and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:02:44.378 \longrightarrow 00:02:47.000$ a hedonic part or reward part.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- 00:02:47.000 --> 00:02:49.632 Of course there's a lot of overlap

 $00{:}02{:}49{.}632 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}52{.}218$ between these areas and there are

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:02:52.218 \rightarrow 00:02:53.676$ many neurotransmitters involved.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:02:53.680 \rightarrow 00:02:55.505$ One of the major neurotransmitters

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}02{:}55{.}505 \dashrightarrow 00{:}02{:}58{.}387$ in the reward system in the brain is

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}02{:}58{.}387 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}01{.}030$ dopamine and one of the neurotransmitters

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

00:03:01.030 -> 00:03:02.878 in the homeostatic system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:02.880 \rightarrow 00:03:05.538$ which lies mostly in life settlements

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}05{.}538 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}08{.}440$ in the brain stem is seroton in.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}08{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}10{.}474$ So for the sake of time I'm just going

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:10.474 \rightarrow 00:03:12.709$ to very briefly touch upon our findings

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

00:03:12.709 --> 00:03:14.398 on disturbances in the seroton
in

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:14.398 \rightarrow 00:03:16.998$ system in the brain in people with obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}17.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}19.480$ And this is by please don't read this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:19.480 \longrightarrow 00:03:22.042$ but this is just to show you

 $00:03:22.042 \rightarrow 00:03:24.198$ how difficult it is to study,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}24.200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}27.080$ in this case, seroton in,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:27.080 \longrightarrow 00:03:28.450$ seroton inergic regulation of

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:28.450 \longrightarrow 00:03:30.075$ food intake by the brain.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}30{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}32{.}304$ But here you can see we just summarized

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}32{.}304 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}34{.}322$ all the literature showing all the

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:34.322 \rightarrow 00:03:36.840$ brain areas and within the brain areas,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}36{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}39{.}342$ the nuclei that use seroton in for

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}39{.}342 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}41{.}560$ signalling to modulate food intake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}41.560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}44.864$ So you can imagine it's really hard to

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:44.864 \rightarrow 00:03:47.674$ study and therefore we need all the the,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:47.680 \longrightarrow 00:03:49.004$ the, the animal data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}49{.}004 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}51{.}789$ So this is just to summarize what we've

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}03{:}51.789 \dashrightarrow 00{:}03{:}53.740$ been seeing in the seroton ergic system.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:53.740 \rightarrow 00:03:56.000$ And this is small, but it doesn't matter,

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:03:56.000 \rightarrow 00:03:57.040$ it's just one slide.

- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:03:57.040 \rightarrow 00:03:59.910$ So when we looked at postmortem hypothalamic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:03:59.910 \dashrightarrow 00:04:02.267$ tissue in people with a healthy
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:04:02.267 \rightarrow 00:04:04.717$ weight and people with ABMI above 25,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:04:04.720 \longrightarrow 00:04:07.440$ we found lower expression
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:04:07.440 \longrightarrow 00:04:09.480$ of seroton in transporters.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:04:09.480 \longrightarrow 00:04:11.892$ And to verify that this was
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- 00:04:11.892 --> 00:04:14.240 not a just postmortem finding,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:04:14.240 \longrightarrow 00:04:16.088$ we also validate this with a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:04:16.088 \dashrightarrow 00:04:18.834$ SPECT scan in vivo where we found
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- 00:04:18.834 --> 00:04:20.277 lower hypothalamic serotonin,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:04:20.280 \rightarrow 00:04:22.173$ serotonin transporter binding
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:04:22.173 \longrightarrow 00:04:25.959$ in people with BMI over 30.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00{:}04{:}25{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}29{.}232$ We also studied the the response of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00{:}04{:}29{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}32{.}002$ the search energic system during fasting.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775
- $00:04:32.002 \longrightarrow 00:04:34.168$ So people were either fasting 12
- NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:04:34.168 \longrightarrow 00:04:37.646$ or 24 hours and then we measured

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

00:04:37.646 --> 00:04:39.254 hypothalamic seroton
in transporter NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}04{:}39{.}254 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}41{.}400$ availability using the SPECT scan. NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:04:41.400 \longrightarrow 00:04:43.360$ And we found that in people with a NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}04{:}43.360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}45.103$ healthy weight there was an increase NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}04{:}45{.}103 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}46{.}903$ in seroton in transporters and this was NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:04:46.958 \rightarrow 00:04:48.757$ not the case in people with obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}04{:}48.760 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}51.010$ So apparently the fasting response

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}04{:}51{.}010 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}53{.}260$ in terms of certain ergic fasting

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}04{:}53{.}330 \dashrightarrow 00{:}04{:}56{.}011$ response in the brain is different in NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}04{:}56{.}011$ --> $00{:}04{:}58{.}876$ people with obesity and this might be NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

00:04:58.876 --> 00:05:01.021 related to differences in circulating

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}05{:}01{.}021 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}03{.}838$ factors like free fatty acids and insulin.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

00:05:03.840 --> 00:05:06.216 And finally we also did a study where NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:05:06.216 \rightarrow 00:05:08.373$ we did an overfeeding study where

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:05:08.373 \rightarrow 00:05:11.080$ we fed people with a healthy weight

 $00:05:11.080 \rightarrow 00:05:14.110$ snacks in between meals and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:05:14.110 \longrightarrow 00:05:17.672$ gained like 5 or 6 kilos and they

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}05{:}17.672 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}20.164$ were totally fit leaned man in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

00:05:20.164 --> 00:05:22.746 case and we were able to replicate NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:05:22.746 \rightarrow 00:05:25.240$ the findings in people with obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}05{:}25{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}27{.}767$ So we think that overfeeding leads to

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00{:}05{:}27.767 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}29.997$ a decrease in seroton in transporters

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:05:29.997 \dashrightarrow 00:05:32.687$ and seroton in signalling and that

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:05:32.687 \longrightarrow 00:05:36.340$ might contribute to overeating and

NOTE Confidence: 0.966431775

 $00:05:36.340 \rightarrow 00:05:40.960$ obesity but moving on to to dopamine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:05:43.600 \longrightarrow 00:05:45.232$ So dopamine is a really the

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00{:}05{:}45{.}232 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}46{.}640$ whole dopamine system is very,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:05:46.640 \rightarrow 00:05:50.160$ very important in reward learning,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00{:}05{:}50{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}05{:}53{.}538$ reward processing and hedonic part and

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:05:53.538 \dashrightarrow 00:05:56.960$ the motivational part of food intake.

 $00:05:56.960 \rightarrow 00:06:00.200$ So we are able to image dopamine receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00{:}06{:}00{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}03{.}164$ bind or receptors by using a radio

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:03.164 \rightarrow 00:06:06.479$ tracer and in this case we used SPECT.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:06.480 \rightarrow 00:06:08.255$ In the future we're probably

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:08.255 \dashrightarrow 00:06:09.675$ together with nuclear medicine.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00{:}06{:}09{.}680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}11{.}528$ We will use PET scan because

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:11.528 \longrightarrow 00:06:13.680$ it has a better sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:13.680 \rightarrow 00:06:14.760$ But in any case,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:14.760 \longrightarrow 00:06:16.920$ we found that in people with obesity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:16.920 \longrightarrow 00:06:18.840$ these were all women,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:18.840 \rightarrow 00:06:21.720$ but there were lower dopamine receptor,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:21.720 \rightarrow 00:06:24.317$ there was a lower dopamine receptor binding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:24.320 \longrightarrow 00:06:26.196$ We don't know at this point whether

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:26.196 \longrightarrow 00:06:28.710$ there was a lower dopamine receptor

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:28.710 \rightarrow 00:06:31.560$ expression or more dopamine release.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:06:31.560 \longrightarrow 00:06:32.640$ But in any case,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00:06:32.640 \rightarrow 00:06:33.990$ we found lower dopamine receptor
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00:06:33.990 \rightarrow 00:06:35.398$ binding in people with obesity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00{:}06{:}35{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}37{.}080$ So there seems to be something
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- 00:06:37.080 > 00:06:38.640 wrong in the dopamine system.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00:06:38.640 \rightarrow 00:06:40.728$ So we were wondering is this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00:06:40.728 \longrightarrow 00:06:42.120$ reversed by weight loss?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00:06:42.120 \rightarrow 00:06:45.879$ So the same women with obesity underwent
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00:06:45.879 \rightarrow 00:06:48.420$ beartic surgery and six weeks after
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00{:}06{:}48{.}420 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}50{.}245$ Bretic surgery where they already
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00:06:50.245 \rightarrow 00:06:52.257$ were in a negative energy balance
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00:06:52.257 \rightarrow 00:06:54.719$ for a couple of weeks or six weeks.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- 00:06:54.720 --> 00:06:56.640 We found no reversibility,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00{:}06{:}56{.}640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}06{:}59{.}520$ so there was no increase in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00{:}06{:}59{.}609 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}01{.}919$ dopamine receptor binding.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091
- $00{:}07{:}01{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}03{.}586$ We then studied them again about a
- NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

00:07:03.586 --> 00:07:05.699 year and a half or two years after

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:07:05.699 \rightarrow 00:07:07.707$ bretic surgery and there we found that

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00{:}07{:}07{.}707 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}09{.}513$ there was a slight but significant

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:07:09.513 \rightarrow 00:07:11.359$ increase in dopamine receptor binding.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:07:11.359 \rightarrow 00:07:15.080$ So we think it might be partially reversible.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

00:07:15.080 --> 00:07:17.960 It was still lower as you can see

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:07:17.960 \rightarrow 00:07:19.880$ here compared to the lean controls,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:07:19.880 \dashrightarrow 00:07:21.998$ but BMI was also still higher.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00{:}07{:}22.000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}23.600$ But having said that,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:07:23.600 \longrightarrow 00:07:25.200$ we of course correlated,

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00{:}07{:}25{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}27{.}069$ We wanted to know what are the

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00{:}07{:}27.069 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}29.122$ determinants of an increase in dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00:07:29.122 \longrightarrow 00:07:30.912$ receptor binding in these women.

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00{:}07{:}30{.}912 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}32{.}652$ And this was not correlated

NOTE Confidence: 0.934251430909091

 $00{:}07{:}32.652 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}34.357$ to the decrease in BMI.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973856064

 $00:07:36.400 \longrightarrow 00:07:40.130$ And actually when we put data together

00:07:40.130 - > 00:07:43.155 from published trials in humans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.973856064

 $00:07:43.160 \longrightarrow 00:07:46.233$ we found that indeed there is no

NOTE Confidence: 0.973856064

 $00{:}07{:}46.233 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}48.137$ linear correlation between BMI

NOTE Confidence: 0.973856064

 $00:07:48.137 \rightarrow 00:07:50.177$ and dopamine receptor binding

NOTE Confidence: 0.973856064

00:07:50.177 --> 00:07:51.790 using patents, SPECT scans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973856064

 $00{:}07{:}51.790 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}54.150$ And it seems to be that there first

NOTE Confidence: 0.973856064

 $00:07:54.219 \longrightarrow 00:07:56.557$ is an increase followed by a decrease.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973856064

 $00{:}07{:}56{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}07{:}58{.}448$ And this is also what we found in

NOTE Confidence: 0.973856064

 $00:07:58.448 \longrightarrow 00:07:59.440$ our own studies.

NOTE Confidence: 0.973856064

 $00:07:59.440 \longrightarrow 00:08:00.680$ This is still unpublished.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911124308

 $00:08:03.280 \longrightarrow 00:08:05.470$ So we were thinking what other

NOTE Confidence: 0.911124308

 $00{:}08{:}05{.}470 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}07{.}356$ determinants then of lower dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.911124308

 $00{:}08{:}07{.}356 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}09{.}474$ receptor binding and we think part

NOTE Confidence: 0.911124308

 $00{:}08{:}09{.}474 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}12{.}085$ of it might be explained by eating

NOTE Confidence: 0.911124308

 $00{:}08{:}12.085 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}14.317$ patterns and timing of food intake.

00:08:14.320 --> 00:08:15.336 And as you know,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911124308

00:08:15.336 --> 00:08:18.000 a lot of people get a lot of calories,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911124308

 $00:08:18.000 \rightarrow 00:08:20.320$ their daily calories from snacking.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:08:23.040 \longrightarrow 00:08:24.636$ So there was a very elegant study.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}08{:}24.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}27.106$ As you know, there is a lot of interest

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:08:27.106 \longrightarrow 00:08:29.275$ in intermittent fasting and time

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:08:29.275 \rightarrow 00:08:31.560$ restricted eating to lose weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:08:31.560 \rightarrow 00:08:33.443$ And this is a very nice study

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:08:33.443 \rightarrow 00:08:35.296$ where they looked at total energy

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:08:35.296 \rightarrow 00:08:36.931$ expenditure in people that would

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}08{:}36{.}931 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}39{.}020$ eat most of their calories in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}08{:}39{.}020 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}42{.}152$ morning or later in the day during a

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}08{:}42.152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}08{:}45.112$ hypocaloric diet and they found no

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:08:45.112 \rightarrow 00:08:47.352$ difference in total energy expenditure.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

00:08:47.360 - 00:08:50.078 But what they did find is that there was,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:08:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:08:52.195$ there were reduced feelings of

00:08:52.195 -> 00:08:55.179 hunger in the people that ate most

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:08:55.179 \longrightarrow 00:08:57.555$ of their calories in the morning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:08:57.560 \rightarrow 00:09:01.513$ And that fits really nicely with a study

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}09{:}01{.}513 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}06{.}200$ that we did earlier in Man with Obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}09{:}06{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}10{.}080$ that we put on a timed hypocaloric diet.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}09{:}10{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}13{.}030$ And So what we did is they got a pretty

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

00:09:13.105 - 00:09:15.463 strict I have to say hypocaloric

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

00:09:15.463 --> 00:09:18.077 diet and they would eat most of

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}09{:}18.077 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}20.602$ their most of their calories in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:20.602 \rightarrow 00:09:23.557$ morning or in the OR in the evening.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:23.560 \longrightarrow 00:09:25.426$ And while the calories at lunch

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}09{:}25{.}426 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}26{.}359$ were the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:26.360 \longrightarrow 00:09:29.123$ So first we looked at so the per study

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:29.123 \rightarrow 00:09:31.958$ design they lost the same amount of weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:31.960 \longrightarrow 00:09:33.796$ And 1st we looked at metabolic

00:09:33.796 --> 00:09:35.740 outcomes and it really didn't matter

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}09{:}35{.}740 \dashrightarrow 00{:}09{:}38{.}043$ whether they would eat most of the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

00:09:38.043 --> 00:09:39.880 calories in the morning or evening

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:39.880 \rightarrow 00:09:42.400$ in terms of improvement in insulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:42.400 \longrightarrow 00:09:45.078$ sensitivity in the liver or in muscle.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:45.080 \dashrightarrow 00:09:48.666$ And also liver fat was was really

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

00:09:48.666 --> 00:09:50.318 decreased in both conditions.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:50.320 \longrightarrow 00:09:52.280$ But when we then looked at the

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:52.280 \rightarrow 00:09:54.199$ brain there were some differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:09:54.200 \dashrightarrow 00:09:57.602$ So the man that ate most of the calories

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}09{:}57{.}602 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}01{.}614$ in the morning during weight loss had an

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

00:10:01.614 --> 00:10:05.920 increase an increase dopamine transporters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:10:05.920 \rightarrow 00:10:06.407$ Sorry,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:10:06.407 \longrightarrow 00:10:08.355$ a dopamine transporter availability

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}10{:}08.355 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}11.274$ in the street and using SPECT

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:10:11.274 \rightarrow 00:10:12.586$ scans while the people,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00:10:12.586 \longrightarrow 00:10:14.700$ the man in the dinner group that
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- 00:10:14.764 --> 00:10:16.878 ate most of the calories at dinner,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00:10:16.880 \rightarrow 00:10:20.114$ they they had a decrease and this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00:10:20.114 \rightarrow 00:10:22.520$ differential response was significant.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00{:}10{:}22.520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}23.262$ So there.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00:10:23.262 \longrightarrow 00:10:25.488$ So timing of food intake seems
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00:10:25.488 \longrightarrow 00:10:27.798$ to affect the dopamine system.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- 00:10:27.800 --> 00:10:28.462 And also,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00:10:28.462 \longrightarrow 00:10:30.117$ and this is still unpublished,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00:10:30.120 \longrightarrow 00:10:31.944$ when we put them in the in the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00{:}10{:}31{.}944 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}33{.}853$ MRI and scanned them and showed
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00:10:33.853 \longrightarrow 00:10:35.237$ them pictures of food,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00{:}10{:}35{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}37{.}081$ we found that the man that ate
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00{:}10{:}37{.}081 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}38{.}949$ most of the calories that during
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428
- $00:10:38.949 \rightarrow 00:10:41.315$ or later during the day at dinner
- NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:10:41.320 \longrightarrow 00:10:43.440$ that they reacted more strongly

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}10{:}43{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}46{.}000$ to high caloric visual food cues.

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

00:10:46.000 --> 00:10:48.072 And we do know that that reaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

00:10:48.072 --> 00:10:49.280 really predicts weight gain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00:10:49.280 \longrightarrow 00:10:52.080$ it predicts the ability to lose weight

NOTE Confidence: 0.929683228571428

 $00{:}10{:}52.080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}10{:}53.796$ and it also predicts food intake.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255

 $00:10:56.920 \dashrightarrow 00:10:59.080$ So timing of food intake matters.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255

 $00{:}10{:}59{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}02{.}531$ So we were also interested in in

NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255

00:11:02.531 --> 00:11:05.200 nutrient sensing and so how does the

NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255

 $00{:}11{:}05{.}200 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}07{.}880$ brain know that there is food around?

NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255

 $00{:}11{:}07.880 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}09.900$ Well, that's by tasting and

NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255

 $00{:}11{:}09{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}11{.}516$ smelling and seeing food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255

 $00{:}11{:}11{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}13{.}506$ But there is also an interaction

NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255

 $00:11:13.506 \rightarrow 00:11:15.820$ between nutrients in the gut or the gut

NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255

 $00{:}11{:}15{.}820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}17{.}870$ and the brain and the communication

NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255

 $00:11:17.870 \rightarrow 00:11:20.120$ goes through a vagal efferents,

- NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255
- $00:11:20.120 \rightarrow 00:11:24.280$ through gut hormones and serotonin
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255
- 00:11:24.280 --> 00:11:26.730 and of course also circulating
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255
- $00{:}11{:}26.730 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}28.200$ nutrients and hormones.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255
- $00:11:28.200 \longrightarrow 00:11:29.360$ So we wanted to study,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255
- $00:11:29.360 \longrightarrow 00:11:31.394$ is there something wrong in this
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255
- $00{:}11{:}31{.}394 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}33{.}101$ communication between the gut and
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950157255
- 00:11:33.101 -> 00:11:34.835 the brain in people with obesity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429
- $00:11:37.880 \longrightarrow 00:11:41.352$ So what we did, we infused directly into
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429
- $00{:}11{:}41{.}352 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}44{.}398$ the stomach using a nasogastric tube,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429
- 00:11:44.400 --> 00:11:47.085 either glucose or lipid or
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429
- 00:11:47.085 --> 00:11:49.233 water control over volume.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429
- $00{:}11{:}49{.}240 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}51{.}328$ And it was the same in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429
- $00:11:51.328 \rightarrow 00:11:52.720$ in volume and calories,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429
- $00{:}11{:}52.720 \dashrightarrow 00{:}11{:}54.838$ in people with a healthy weight
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429
- $00:11:54.838 \dashrightarrow 00:11:57.240$ and also in people with obesity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429

00:11:57.240 --> 00:12:00.320 And then we did Mris and SPECT scans,

NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429

 $00{:}12{:}00{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}02{.}372$ and the people with obesity then

NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429

00:12:02.372 --> 00:12:04.480 underwent A hypocaloric diet intervention

NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429

 $00:12:04.480 \longrightarrow 00:12:07.637$ and they lost 10% in 12 weeks.

NOTE Confidence: 0.911892998571429

 $00{:}12{:}07.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}08.960$ And then we rescanned them.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:12:11.000 --> 00:12:13.439 So this is just to show you this is,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}12{:}13.440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}16.513$ this is the scan after the intragastric

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:12:16.513 --> 00:12:19.120 infusion of either lipid or glucose

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}12{:}19{.}120 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}20{.}356$ in people with a healthy weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:12:20.360 \rightarrow 00:12:23.502$ So there were so glucose gave more

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}12{:}23.502 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}25.357$ like immediate effect to lipid.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:12:25.360 \longrightarrow 00:12:26.364$ It took a while,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:12:26.364 --> 00:12:28.240 but we saw a decrease in many,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:12:28.240 --> 00:12:29.665 many brain regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:12:29.665 --> 00:12:32.040 a decrease in brain activity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:12:32.040 \longrightarrow 00:12:34.820$ and those included striatal

 $00{:}12{:}34.820 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}37.600$ structures and limbic structures.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}12{:}37.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}40.776$ So you could say this is the physiological

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}12{:}40.776 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}43.120$ response to food in the stomach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:12:43.120 \longrightarrow 00:12:45.080$ When we did the same,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:12:45.080 \dashrightarrow 00:12:47.228$ this whole brain analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:12:47.228 \longrightarrow 00:12:49.913$ we found no effects whatsoever

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:12:49.920 \rightarrow 00:12:52.520$ measurable in people with obesity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}12{:}52{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}55{.}964$ So somehow the brain doesn't sense that

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}12{:}55{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}12{:}58{.}680$ there's 500 kilocalories in the stomach.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:12:58.680 \rightarrow 00:13:00.920$ When we then zoomed in on specific regions,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:13:00.920 --> 00:13:02.600 doing a region of interest analysis,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}02.600 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}05.280$ we found that in glucose and lipid condition,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}05{.}280 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}07{.}404$ there was a decrease in brain

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}07{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}08{.}948$ activity in the nucleus accumbens,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:13:08.948 \longrightarrow 00:13:10.433$ which is the ventral striatum,

 $00:13:10.440 \longrightarrow 00:13:12.500$ which is really really important

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:13:12.500 --> 00:13:14.560 for reward and reward learning.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}14{.}560 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}19{.}297$ And this this decrease in in activity makes

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:13:19.297 \rightarrow 00:13:21.353$ sense because if there's food in the stomach,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}21{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}22{.}848$ there's no need to go and

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}22.848 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}23.840$ search for more food,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}23.840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}25.285$ there's no need to be

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:13:25.285 \longrightarrow 00:13:27.120$ motivated to go and eat food.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:13:27.120 --> 00:13:27.878 But this,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}27.878 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}30.531$ this reaction was not present in people

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}30{.}531 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}33{.}419$ with obesity and and more importantly

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:13:33.419 \longrightarrow 00:13:36.737$ this didn't change after these people

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:13:36.737 \rightarrow 00:13:40.480$ with obesity lost 10% of their body weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}40{.}480 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44{.}040$ This was the same in the dorsal stratum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}44.040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}44.776$ Then we looked at,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:13:44.776 --> 00:13:44.960 OK,

 $00:13:44.960 \longrightarrow 00:13:46.112$ what are the determinants?

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:13:46.112 \longrightarrow 00:13:48.256$ And of course we think it's it's

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:13:48.256 --> 00:13:49.920 it's got brain communication,

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:13:49.920 \longrightarrow 00:13:53.070$ something we cannot easily measure in

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:13:53.070 \rightarrow 00:13:55.920$ people but we can of course in rotor models.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}13{:}55{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}13{:}59{.}551$ But we found that GOP one seems to predict

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:13:59.551 \rightarrow 00:14:02.999$ some of the response in the dorsal stratum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

00:14:03.000 --> 00:14:06.540 So lipid sensing might need GOP

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:14:06.540 \longrightarrow 00:14:07.720$ one signalling.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:14:07.720 \rightarrow 00:14:11.473$ I'm going to go over this because of time.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:14:11.480 \longrightarrow 00:14:13.640$ We also looked at functional connectivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}14{:}13.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}15.900$ Now functional connectivity really is

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}14{:}15{.}900 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}19{.}119$ looking at brain areas that change in

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}14{:}19{.}119$ --> $00{:}14{:}21{.}252$ synchrony and we think if they change

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:14:21.252 \rightarrow 00:14:23.262$ in synchrony that they directly or

 $00{:}14{:}23.262 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}25.317$ indirectly communicate with each other.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}14{:}25{.}320 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}28{.}316$ So this is more brain network response

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}14{:}28{.}316$ --> $00{:}14{:}30{.}132$ to intragastric nutrients and overall

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}14{:}30{.}132 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}31{.}994$ and we're still working on these data.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:14:32.000 \longrightarrow 00:14:34.569$ We found that lipid had most effects NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:14:34.569 \rightarrow 00:14:36.090$ on functional connectivity between

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}14{:}36{.}090 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}38{.}238$ the accumbens and some brain areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:14:38.240 \longrightarrow 00:14:41.194$ Areas well glucose had more effect on

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:14:41.194 \rightarrow 00:14:42.871$ functional connectivity between the NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}14{:}42{.}871$ --> $00{:}14{:}44{.}917$ dorsal stratum and and other areas.

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}14{:}44{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}47{.}620$ Interestingly also areas involved

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00{:}14{:}47{.}620 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}50{.}621$ in memory and cognition functional

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:14:50.621 \rightarrow 00:14:52.126$ connectivity in people with obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.936976422222222

 $00:14:52.126 \longrightarrow 00:14:53.960$ did not show any differences.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}14{:}56{.}440 \dashrightarrow 00{:}14{:}58{.}360$ We measured dopamine release using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

00:14:58.360 --> 00:15:00.719 SPECT and while glucose was still

 $00{:}15{:}00{.}719 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}02{.}981$ able to elicit dopamine release in

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}02{.}981 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}05{.}176$ people with obesity, lipid was not.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}05{.}176 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}08{.}360$ So there seems to be a reduced dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}08{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}12{.}154$ response upon lipid infusion and this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:15:12.154 \rightarrow 00:15:15.430$ this really lines up with with animal

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}15{.}430 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}18{.}400$ data that was published years ago.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:15:18.400 \rightarrow 00:15:21.360$ So why do we eat beyond homeostatic need?

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:15:21.360 \longrightarrow 00:15:24.272$ I think we have shown in humans using

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}24.272 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}25.976$ neuroimaging that there are disrupted

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}25{.}976 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}28{.}360$ dopamine and seroton in systems in the brain,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}28{.}360 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}29{.}960$ that there is impaired nutrient

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}29{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}32{.}018$ sensing and obesity, which is not

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:15:32.018 \rightarrow 00:15:34.152$ reversible after 10% weight loss.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}34{.}152 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}37{.}248$ And this might account also for

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

00:15:37.248 --> 00:15:39.044 regaining weight even after

 $00:15:39.044 \dashrightarrow 00:15:40.954$ treatment with GOP one agonists.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:15:40.960 \rightarrow 00:15:43.571$ So maybe we're not restoring food intake

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}43.571 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}45.840$ regulation and the last two minutes,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:15:45.840 \rightarrow 00:15:48.255$ if I may, it's just that my

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}48.255 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}50.400$ interest is also a metabolism.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}15{:}50{.}400 \dashrightarrow 00{:}15{:}52{.}672$ So we are also interested in how the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

00:15:52.672 --> 00:15:55.120 brain regulates glucose metabolism

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:15:55.120 \rightarrow 00:15:58.124$ and besides dopamine having a huge

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

00:15:58.124 --> 00:16:00.079 role in food intake regulation,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}16{:}00{.}080 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}04{.}290$ we also were able to show that that dopamine

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:16:04.290 \longrightarrow 00:16:07.320$ is able to modulate insulin sensitivity.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:16:07.320 \rightarrow 00:16:10.368$ When we increase dopamine in people in this

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:16:10.368 \rightarrow 00:16:13.717$ case they had deep brain stimulation for OCD,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:16:13.720 \longrightarrow 00:16:16.096$ so obsessive compulsive disorder

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}16{:}16{.}096 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}18{.}729$ in an area near the striatum.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:16:18.729 \longrightarrow 00:16:20.710$ And we know that when we turn

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:16:20.770 \longrightarrow 00:16:22.480$ the stimulator on there is a,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:16:22.480 \longrightarrow 00:16:24.000$ there is dopamine release.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:16:24.000 \longrightarrow 00:16:25.520$ So we studied these,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:16:25.520 \longrightarrow 00:16:29.452$ these patients with the with the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00{:}16{:}29{.}452 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}32{.}220$ stimulator on or off and what we found
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:16:32.298 \longrightarrow 00:16:35.612$ is that when we turned the DBS on,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:16:35.612 \longrightarrow 00:16:37.868$ there was an improvement
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- 00:16:37.868 --> 00:16:39.560 in insulin sensitivity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:16:39.560 \longrightarrow 00:16:40.552$ We were also thinking,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- 00:16:40.552 --> 00:16:41.673 OK, if it's dopamine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:16:41.673 \longrightarrow 00:16:42.837$ if we deplete dopamine,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00{:}16{:}42{.}840 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}45{.}180$ we should see a reduction in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:16:45.180 \longrightarrow 00:16:45.960$ insulin sensitivity.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00{:}16{:}45{.}960 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}47{.}794$ And that is indeed what we found
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00{:}16{:}47.794 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}50.081$ in humans when we blocked dopamine
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

00:16:50.081 --> 00:16:51.997 synthesis blocking tyrosine hydroxylase.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:16:52.000 \rightarrow 00:16:55.000$ We found that not at the hepatic level,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}16{:}55{.}000 \dashrightarrow 00{:}16{:}57{.}125$ but we found a decrease

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

00:16:57.125 --> 00:16:58.400 in insulin sensitivity,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:16:58.400 \longrightarrow 00:17:00.840$ showing that dopamine in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

00:17:00.840 --> 00:17:02.792 brain also regulates insulin

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:17:02.792 \longrightarrow 00:17:06.720$ sensitivity in the body in humans.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

00:17:06.720 --> 00:17:11.676 So I think that beyond gut peptides,

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

00:17:11.676 --> 00:17:14.910 the striatal dopamine system in the

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}17{:}14.999 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}18.118$ brain really is a target for future

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}17{:}18{.}118$ --> $00{:}17{:}20{.}613$ new medication reducing food intake NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:17:20.613 \rightarrow 00:17:22.680$ and improving metabolic health.

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}17{:}22.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}25.056$ And I think working on and

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}17{:}25.056 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}26.640$ understanding why nutrient sensing

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00{:}17{:}26.706 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}28.844$ is so disturbed and whether we can

NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235

 $00:17:28.844 \rightarrow 00:17:31.414$ restore that in the long term with the

- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:17:31.414 \rightarrow 00:17:33.319$ new medication is really essential.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- $00:17:33.320 \longrightarrow 00:17:35.560$ So I want to thank all these
- NOTE Confidence: 0.8037825235
- 00:17:35.560 --> 00:17:36.640 people and yourself.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951019698
- $00:17:44.320 \rightarrow 00:17:45.684$ Thank you for that.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951019698
- $00:17:45.684 \rightarrow 00:17:48.634$ We have time maybe for one or two
- NOTE Confidence: 0.951019698
- 00:17:48.634 --> 00:17:50.800 very quick questions. Yes, Diana.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5877882175
- $00:17:53.240 \longrightarrow 00:17:53.720$ Oh, there you go.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.716807681111111
- $00:17:54.120 \rightarrow 00:17:55.794$ Never mind. Do you want to just say it?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.716807681111111
- $00{:}17{:}55{.}800 \dashrightarrow 00{:}17{:}58{.}000$ I'll repeat it, I'll repeat it. For the
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5127180566666667
- 00:17:58.800 --> 00:18:01.158 current response in the nutrient setting,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5127180566666667
- $00{:}18{:}01{.}160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}03{.}920$ the dopamine system, would you
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5127180566666667
- $00{:}18{:}03{.}920 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}06{.}452$ advocate for something like a ketogenic
- NOTE Confidence: 0.5127180566666667
- 00:18:06.452 --> 00:18:09.920 diet or a little carbohydrate diet
- NOTE Confidence: 0.66835914
- $00:18:09.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:10.520$ to kind of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625
- $00:18:10.520 \rightarrow 00:18:13.380$ maintain or reset the system?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00:18:13.380 \rightarrow 00:18:14.680$ Well, that's an interesting thought.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00{:}18{:}14.680 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}15.670$ We don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00{:}18{:}15{.}670 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}18{.}484$ We we do know that fatty acids modulate

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00:18:18.484 \rightarrow 00:18:21.040$ the response in the seroton in them

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00{:}18{:}21{.}040 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}23{.}639$ in people with a healthy weight.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00{:}18{:}23.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}28.125$ So that whether I think that the the

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00:18:28.125 \longrightarrow 00:18:30.389$ the ketones and fatty acids that

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00{:}18{:}30{.}389 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}32{.}964$ enter the brain that's more you know

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00{:}18{:}32{.}964 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}35{.}130$ that that's a different route than

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00{:}18{:}35{.}205 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}37{.}637$ the vagal afferens in the in the gut.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00{:}18{:}37.640 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}40.290$ Whether caloric restriction or intermittent

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00:18:40.290 \rightarrow 00:18:43.432$ fasting to increase ketones and and

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

00:18:43.432 --> 00:18:45.916 fatty acids will improve food intake,

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00:18:45.920 \longrightarrow 00:18:46.826$ we don't know.

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00{:}18{:}46.826 \dashrightarrow 00{:}18{:}50.240$ But but in in animal models with

NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625

 $00:18:50.240 \rightarrow 00:18:52.728$ you know prolonged intermittent

- NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625
- $00:18:52.728 \rightarrow 00:18:55.383$ fasting where where animals or would
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625
- $00:18:55.383 \rightarrow 00:18:57.520$ only eat for a few hours a day,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625
- $00:18:57.520 \longrightarrow 00:19:00.054$ they do see all kinds of improvements
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625
- $00:19:00.054 \longrightarrow 00:19:02.605$ in in body weight and in in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625
- 00:19:02.605 --> 00:19:03.820 memory function etcetera.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625
- $00:19:03.820 \longrightarrow 00:19:05.035$ So who knows?
- NOTE Confidence: 0.6474328625
- 00:19:05.040 --> 00:19:05.280 Yeah,
- NOTE Confidence: 0.950899134
- 00:19:07.240 --> 00:19:08.600 yes. One more quick question.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286
- $00:19:29.120 \longrightarrow 00:19:32.320$ Well, that's a really difficult
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286
- $00:19:32.320 \longrightarrow 00:19:35.076$ question because mental health in
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286
- $00{:}19{:}35{.}076 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}38{.}520$ people with obesity of course has many.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286
- $00{:}19{:}38{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}41{.}404$ You know the the, the etiology of
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286
- $00{:}19{:}41{.}404 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}45{.}032$ that is very complex and I don't know
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286
- $00{:}19{:}45{.}032 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}47{.}540$ whether in humans we can distangle
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286
- $00{:}19{:}47.621 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}50.077$ these these different factors.
- NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286

 $00:19:50.080 \longrightarrow 00:19:51.790$ Given the effects of obesity

NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286

 $00:19:51.790 \longrightarrow 00:19:53.158$ on the serotonin system,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286

 $00{:}19{:}53.160 \dashrightarrow 00{:}19{:}56.604$ I can imagine that that might make

NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286

 $00:19:56.604 \rightarrow 00:19:59.811$ them more prone to to depression,

NOTE Confidence: 0.969072405714286

 $00{:}19{:}59{.}811 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}03{.}520$ but I'm not sure. Yeah, great.

NOTE Confidence: 0.969124146923077

 $00{:}20{:}03{.}520 \dashrightarrow 00{:}20{:}06{.}490$ Thank you very much. And we are going to

NOTE Confidence: 0.969124146923077

 $00:20:06.490 \rightarrow 00:20:09.080$ move into our second networking break.